

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,220	01/11/2006	· Takashi Iwamoto	36856.1405	5992
	7590 03/29/2007 NUFACTURING CON	EXAMINER		
C/O KEATING	& BENNETT, LLP	DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M		
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA	22102	2834		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAVS		03/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			T	51			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/564,220	IWAMOTO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas M. Dougherty	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	anuary 2006.					
		s action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	Disposition of Claims						
· 4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 8-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>8-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
	tion Papers	•					
	•						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau			•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		·					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2834

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 8-10, drawn to a piezoelectric device, classified in class 310, subclass 348.
- II. Claims 11-17, drawn to a method of making a plurality of piezoelectric devices simultaneously, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of the two groups are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions involve a single structure and a method of making a plurality of structures at the same time, consequently at least the groups have different effects.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/564,220

Art Unit: 2834

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

tmd

March 23, 2007

TOM DOUGHERTY

Page 3